

United States Patent and Trademark Office

im

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/040,027	10/25/2001	Paul Robert Hoffman	G0052	8885
7590 12/02/2003			EXAM	INER
Serge J. Hodgson			ZARNEKE, DAVID A	
Gunnison, McKay & Hodgson, L.L.P. 1900 Garden Road, Suite 220			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Monterey, CA 93940			2827	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·_			em				
y •		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments		10/040,027	HOFFMAN, PAUL	ROBERT				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
		David A. Zarneke	2827					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, eply within the statutory minimulated will apply and will expire SIX ute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	October 2003.						
2a)		is action is non-final.	,					
,								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement						
Applicati	on Papers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)[13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a link ocknowledgment is made of a claim for dome ince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78.) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome eference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received into have been received into have been received in its hard been received in its have been received in its have been received in its hard been receive	ed in Application No been received in this National solution of the provisional solution of the provisional solution of the provisional solution of the provision of the	application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachmen		., [_]						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(stice of Informal Patent Application (PTO er:					

Application/Control Number: 10/040,027

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Election

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-15 in the paper dated 10/14/03 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that figure 4 and 8 refer to process limitations and product claims were elected. This argument is moot because of the new grounds of restriction cited below.

Claims 16-20, drawn to a nonelected group, have been canceled, leaving only the elected claims 1-15 as pending.

Election/Restrictions

Within elected claims 1-15, further restriction is required, as detailed below.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1: Figure 5; and

Species 2: Figure 9.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/040,027

Art Unit: 2827

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to David A. Zarneke whose telephone number is (703)-305-3926. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10AM-6PM.

Art Unit: 2827

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (703)-308-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is (703)-872-9306.

After February 5, 2003, the examiner can be reached at (703)- 272-1937 and his supervisor can be reached at (703)- 272-1957.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-6789.

David A. Zarneke Primary Examiner

November 29, 2003